UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,216	02/24/2004	James Karanassos	449/120	8838
27538 7590 07/22/2008 KAPLAN GILMAN GIBSON & DERNIER L.L.P. 900 ROUTE 9 NORTH			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, KHUONG N	
WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/785,216	KARANASSOS, JAMES		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	KHUONG TRAN	2619						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Oos attacked Nation of Nam Oos		DTOL 204)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).					
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		imaly filed amandmar	ot concoling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subifiitted iii a separate, t	illiely liled afficilidifier	it cancelling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-44.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does INOT place the application in	condition for allowah	ce pecause:					
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619								

Continuation of 13. Other: It is noted based on a cursory review of the affidavit submitted after final, even if entered would not provide sufficient evidence of diligence. A statement of merely alleging diligence is not sufficient. The entire period between just before the reference and the filing of the U.S application must also be covered. The email does not show activities supporting diligence within this period of time. The applicant is directed to MPEP 715.07(a) and 2138.06 for more guidance.